

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

Manuscript NO: 78862

Title: Cemento-ossifying fibroma of the left mandible: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06280972 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-26 19:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-26 22:05

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review's comments: It is nice to read through this research representing a COF lesion in the mandible, which has been diagnosed early based on symptoms that appeared in adjacent structures. Regarding the manuscript format, it is a well-structured paper in accordance with the journal guidelines for writing a Case report. The CARE checklist is provided, and it is complete. The abstract word limit is respected. 1. The introduction is well-written; however, the merit of this study has not been shown clearly. Please justify the merit of the case report in the instruction as well as in the discussion. What feature has made your study specific to be a case report? 2. In the case presentation, please explain whether the patient has any medical history or family history. 3. In the treatment section, you have not mentioned anything about the reconstruction plan after surgery. Will the bone be grafted for reconstruction in your future rehabilitation treatment plan? Or do you wait to see whether the periosteum will construct the bone? Also, have you preserved the periosteum during the surgery? 4. In the treatment section, please clarify whether you have used fixation and plate in order to avoid soft tissue collapse after surgery. 5. In the follow-up section, please clarify whether you have taken a 3D image (CBCT or CT) to determine the lesion recurrence after 3 months following the surgery. Moreover, did you follow the patient only after 3 months? Are there any other follow-up sessions? 6. in rare cases, the mandible bone has been regenerated naturally and gradually after surgery because of the periosteum's natural ability. Considering the periosteum during surgery, especially for COF as a lesion with a low recurrence rate is crucial. Even if you have not considered it in your surgery, I think it is essential to discuss this issue in order to make your discussion more comprehensive. In addition to the surgical approaches that you have mentioned in the discussion, you



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

should add some information about the enucleation approach which can lead to bone regeneration in rare cases. With this regard, please refer to this paper which is recently published, and, in my opinion, you should update your discussion with this recently published information about COF surgery. "Complete Spontaneous Bone Regeneration following Surgical Enucleation of a Mandibular Cemento-Ossifying Fibroma" https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7902602 7. In the discussion, you have a short literature review. I think you should have a more comprehensive search to include more articles and discuss their differences and similarities with your own study.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

Manuscript NO: 78862

Title: Cemento-ossifying fibroma of the left mandible: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02706155 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-09 12:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-09 13:05

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C:
Novelty of this manuscript	Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C:
ř	Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is innovative



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Surgical Procedures

Manuscript NO: 78862

Title: Cemento-ossifying fibroma of the left mandible: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06280972 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-19 05:15

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-19 16:45

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well done