

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 81166

Title: Enhancing the Awakening to Family Engagement Bundle with Music Therapy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05330354 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-29 10:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-30 01:35

Review time: 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article reviewed the ABCDEF bundle, music therapy and adjustment to patient ventilator asynchrony systematically. However, the recent RCTs researches were not reviewed, which may futher enhance the article quality.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 81166

Title: Enhancing the Awakening to Family Engagement Bundle with Music Therapy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02445547 Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: DNB, FEBS, FICS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MNAMS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Singapore

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-02 11:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-02 11:50

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with interest this well-written minireview on an important topic that is at the crossroads of general surgeons and physicians and critical care experts. The irony is most of the after-ICU follow-up is not done by critical care experts. Thus they do not receive first-hand information of the patient once discharged from ICU, unless an active effort to follow up is made. Thus, it is not a surprise that most citations are borrowed from prospective trials published in high-impact journals. I have minor suggestions for authors and i wish that author include some discussion about the data rather than data 1. In abstract please underline or bold the ABCDEF alphabet in the description as appropriate. e.g. "a" of awakening to be made bold etc. 2. Key words should be in alphabetical order 3. ICU full form should be mentioned when it is first mentioned 4. Citation 1 is wrong, edit it pls. 5. Opening introductory statement is very obvious and you dont need to support it with 3 NEJM papers. One is sufficient as it is common domain knowledge that things have improved etc. 6. Page 8 need to mention ICU short form rather than full 7. Citation 19 findings should be discussed by author. Just mentioning data is not sufficient. Why deeply sedated patients have worse memories and PTSD compared to contrary expectations by logic? 8. Page 17 last line likelihood and not likehood 9. When you write para on abcdef bundle, there is no "f". This is likely due to lack of good quality data. So in essence your ABCDEF is actually just ABCDE and there is no F? Pls elaborate.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 81166

Title: Enhancing the Awakening to Family Engagement Bundle with Music Therapy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03830286 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-06 15:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-17 22:02

Review time: 11 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The mini-review is well-written. The topic is interesting. However, there are many minor and a few major issues that must be resolved before it is published. All of them are included at the file attached to my review. Please have a look and make corrections. In more detail, 3 sections, the introduction, the D- delirium detection, management and prevention paragraph and patient-ventilator asychrony adjustment paragraph must receive changes. The last one should be omitted or extensivelly changed as it has little to do with the subject of the review and its reference to patient-ventilator asychronies is basic / superficial. English edditing would offer improvement to the manuscript, although many changes are proposed at my attached revision. The effort is good.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 81166

Title: Enhancing the Awakening to Family Engagement Bundle with Music Therapy

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03830286 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-20 15:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-22 21:05

Review time: 2 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript is improved. Minor faults still remain but I hope that they will be resolved at the Proofreading process. Not all proposed changes were adopted by the author, but the most important were. In fact, I believe that the authors' point of view must be respected when there is no major drawback for the manuscript's quality.