

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5575

**Title:** Disaster Preparedness; Pediatric Considerations in Primary Blast Injury, Chemical, and Biological Terrorism

**Reviewer code:** 00502814

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-21 11:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-21 11:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is an attempt to overview basic knowledge on the mechanism of action and human injuring capacity of agents used in an attempt to inflict mass casualties' incidents. Although relevant, due to the attempt to cover all agents - conventional and non conventional it misses a comprehensive overview on wounding, treatment, organization and preparedness of medical system to cope with such events. The author although intend to discuss pediatric aspects of the matter but does it very loosely. For the paper to evolve I recommended dividing the issue into two different comments: I - conventional II- nonconventional

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5575

**Title:** Disaster Preparedness; Pediatric Considerations in Primary Blast Injury, Chemical, and Biological Terrorism

**Reviewer code:** 00398767

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-21 11:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-09-26 08:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written and exhaustive review manuscript on a relatively unusual topic for critical care medicine literature: the management of pediatric patients who are victims of terroristic attacks. The manuscript is easy to be read and catch the attention, even being a bit long. Practical management guidelines are provided as well. I'd only suggest to add few illustrations to further capture the attention of the reader.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5575

**Title:** Disaster Preparedness; Pediatric Considerations in Primary Blast Injury, Chemical, and Biological Terrorism

**Reviewer code:** 00502779

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-09-21 11:19

**Date reviewed:** 2013-10-08 20:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title mentioned pediatric consideration; this was hardly mentioned in the article. Even table 1 tackling the problem, is very general and didn't mention any details or specific acts. The article should be re-written mentioning all the details of what was published about the pediatric as the special appliances (mentioning their specifications and utilities), doses and how they differ from adults, hazards of some treatment in pediatric population like PEEP, ...etc. I think that the article is very irrelevant to the title and should be resubmitted after tackling the problem related to the title.