

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 14664

Title: Utility of Flexible Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy for Critically Ill Pediatric Patients: A Systematic Review

Reviewer code: 00503215

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-21 09:01

Date reviewed: 2014-11-24 17:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well written paper with good research of English literature. There are some repetition in the results and discussion section which if omitted can make the paper more succinct.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 14664

Title: Utility of Flexible Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy for Critically Ill Pediatric Patients: A Systematic Review

Reviewer code: 00503255

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-21 09:01

Date reviewed: 2014-11-18 10:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is a well-written systematic review paper and has valuable information regarding this area. However, styles of some references used in this paper are not appropriate for this journal (not used titles abbreviated form as shown in PubMed).

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 14664

Title: Utility of Flexible Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy for Critically Ill Pediatric Patients: A Systematic Review

Reviewer code: 00742182

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-21 09:01

Date reviewed: 2014-11-21 02:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Can the authors point out which criteria one can use to identify patients in whom this procedure would not be worthwhile? Are there patients in whom a rigid bronchoscopy would be advisable in lieu of a flexible? Can the authors identify any age recommendations and size of scope?