

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 13087

Title: Designing drug regimens for special ICU populations

Reviewer's code: 02446566

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2014-08-18 15:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A brief explanation of log P may help readers that are unfamiliar to this term.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 13087

Title: Designing drug regimens for special ICU populations

Reviewer's code: 02520738

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2014-08-22 02:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To: Lian-Sheng Ma President and Company Editor-in-Chief World Journal of Critical Care Medicine
 Title: "Designing Drug Regimens for Special ICU Populations" Dear Editor, We have read through the manuscript and we think that several limitations could be outlined: 1) I think that peculiar populations are the migrants and different races persons. Although not considered in the general definitions, they can differently answer to drugs. Please consider and discuss such a condition even according literature [see for example: Modesti PA et al. Cardiovascular health in migrants: current status and issues for prevention. A collaborative multidisciplinary task force report. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2014 Sep;15(9):683-92]. 2) The author should indicate how many studies he considered for the final analysis, the amount of researched deleted from the analysis. 3) A flow chart of the review should be provided. 4) A table gathering the main results and characteristics of the studies considered should be provided.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 13087

Title: Designing drug regimens for special ICU populations

Reviewer's code: 01213174

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-06 16:36

Date reviewed: 2014-08-07 18:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

GENERAL This is a review on dose design in management of ICU patients who have special problems in stature or organ functions. Selection of drugs and the dose is important issue in ICU management. Thus, this reviewer read this manuscript with significant interest. However, the description in this manuscript was redundant and superficial overall. Moreover, the present manuscript provided little clinically useful information. It is for these reasons that the reviewer does not think that the present manuscript is worth publishing in World Journal of Critical Care Medicine.

SPECIFIC The contents of Table 1 would be more easily understandable with figure.