

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17373

**Title:** Myeloproliferative and thrombotic burden and treatment outcome of thrombocythemia patients

**Reviewer's code:** 00502871

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-04 17:17

**Date reviewed:** 2015-03-31 05:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting review of the available literature on categorization and treatment of thrombocytosis due to polycythemia vera, prefibrotic myeloproliferative neoplasms and essential thrombocytosis. The differences in treatment and outcomes based on national recommendations identify the need for international collaboration in diagnosing and treatment of these conditions. The manuscript has appeal to hematology specialists; definitive treatment recommendations for non-specialist who may be called upon to treat these patients during emergencies would be a useful addition.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 17373

**Title:** Myeloproliferative and thrombotic burden and treatment outcome of thrombocythemia patients

**Reviewer's code:** 02445134

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-03-04 17:17

**Date reviewed:** 2015-04-03 18:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, I think that your paper is suitable for publication but it is needed a minor revision. 1. L8, P4 What do you mean with My co-worker? 2. L2 from the end, P% only the 60 years? 3. L12-13, P6, What do you mean? 4. L9 from the end, P7, Italian study? 5. The tables and figures reflect the major discussion of your review paper but it is not in the same format. 6. The table on page 5 is your results? 7. The discussion is not well organized. Please rewrite the first paragraph of discussion with the main idea of the review.