

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 25841

Title: New avenues for reducing intensive care needs in patients with chronic spinal cord injury

Reviewer's code: 00502743

Reviewer's country: Argentina

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-24 13:29

Date reviewed: 2016-03-26 09:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work clearly presents the challenges that cross those patients with "spinal cord injury (SCI)". The management strategies presented include the limitations that daily confront these patients and should be taken into account when proposing - and authorize - alternative guidelines seeking to improve the status of these people. Beyond the new available advances cited in this work, the willingness to reduce the severity of the unfortunate condition that affects these cases raises the usefulness of the proposed vanguard for both prevention and treatment of these complications in these people who suffer from this still irreversible situation. Given the nature of the subject, a free exhibition of WJCCM journal's format can be tolerated in order to maintain consistency of this brief presentation that exposes the author's experience.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 25841

Title: New avenues for reducing intensive care needs in patients with chronic spinal cord injury

Reviewer's code: 00069534

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-24 13:29

Date reviewed: 2016-04-02 15:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The question of this work is some of these new avenues possible become the SOP of clinically-relevant therapies capable of indirectly preventing the occurrence and/or severity of these life-threatening complications in people with paraplegic or tetraplegic injuries or not. And the authors how accomplish this milestone. One more key is that the authors need to provide their success rate in scientific and clinical evaluation. The authors should discuss these relevant.