



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 27201

Title: Interprofessional, multiple step simulation course improves pediatric resident and nursing staff management of pediatric patients with diabetic ketoacidosis

Reviewer's code: 00742209

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 14:08

Date reviewed: 2016-05-20 03:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Consider replacing the name for the control group with reference group. Consider not performing a statistical comparison between the post-test results for the intervention group and the pre-test results for the reference group (see figure 2) because these groups are not the same. Instead, the authors can compare the relative changes in the post-test results for each group (intervention vs. reference). Note the typographical error "Figure one" in paragraph two under results.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 27201

Title: Interprofessional, multiple step simulation course improves pediatric resident and nursing staff management of pediatric patients with diabetic ketoacidosis

Reviewer's code: 02446483

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 14:08

Date reviewed: 2016-05-30 20:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good manuscript, although the number of participants is small. This limitations has been raised by the authors. The manuscript may be interesting for publication, if the authors may add two points. They should add 1) what the participants may have taken as decision, if they would have been involved in a Delphi process. Delphi process is often used in PICUs. 2) An update and reference of three related papers: 1: Crossen SS, Wilson DM, Saynina O, Sanders LM. Outpatient Care Preceding Hospitalization for Diabetic Ketoacidosis. Pediatrics. 2016 May 20. pii: e20153497. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 27207491. 2: Zucchini S, Scaramuzza AE, Bonfanti R, Buono P, Cardella F, Cauvin V, Cherubini V, Chiari G, d'Annunzio G, Frongia AP, Iafusco D, Maltoni G, Patera IP, Toni S, Tumini S, Rabbone I; Diabetes Study Group of The Italian Society For Pediatric Endocrinology And Diabetology. A Multicenter Retrospective Survey regarding Diabetic Ketoacidosis Management in Italian Children with Type 1 Diabetes. J Diabetes Res. 2016;2016:5719470. doi: 10.1155/2016/5719470. Epub 2015 Nov 15. PubMed PMID: 26649321; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4662990. 3: Skitch SA, Valani R. Treatment of Pediatric Diabetic Ketoacidosis in Canada: A Review of Treatment Protocols



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

from Canadian Pediatric Emergency Departments. CJEM. 2015 Nov;17(6):656-61. doi: 10.1017/cem.2015.56. PubMed PMID: 26461431. 4: Chafe R, Albrechtsons D, Hagerty D, Newhook LA. Reducing episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis within a youth population: a focus group study with patients and families. BMC Res Notes. 2015 Sep 1;8:395. doi: 10.1186/s13104-015-1358-7. PubMed PMID: 26323283; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4553941.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS manuscript NO: 27201

Title: Interprofessional, multiple step simulation course improves pediatric resident and nursing staff management of pediatric patients with diabetic ketoacidosis

Reviewer's code: 00058872

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-05-17 14:08

Date reviewed: 2016-06-29 19:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Put in evidence the small size of the selected population.