



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 40878

Title: Expiratory flow-limitation in mechanically ventilated patients: A risk for ventilator-induced lung injury?

Reviewer’s code: 04334222

Reviewer’s country: Italy

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-07-13

Date reviewed: 2018-07-17

Review time: 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This mechanism, however, besides being physically limited, leads to an increment in DH and intrinsic end-expiratory alveolar pressure, adding an increasing threshold load on the inspiratory muscles, which become functionally weaker, and eliciting dyspnoea. In



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, bronchodilators and lung volume reduction surgery do not usually reverse expiratory flow limitation, but they appear to be useful because they often allow expiratory flow limitation to occur at a lower absolute lung volume, thus reducing dynamic pulmonary hyperinflation and limiting exertional dyspnoea.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 40878

Title: Expiratory flow-limitation in mechanically ventilated patients: A risk for ventilator-induced lung injury?

Reviewer's code: 00502932

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-07-13

Date reviewed: 2018-07-21

Review time: 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good review of this important and overlooked aspect of mechanical ventilation. I believe the article would be greatly enhanced by inclusion of some illustrations, for example diagrams showing the techniques for detection of EFL via NEP, and ZEEP in



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

the mechanically ventilated subject. Step-by-step instructions might also be useful.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 40878

Title: Expiratory flow-limitation in mechanically ventilated patients: A risk for ventilator-induced lung injury?

Reviewer’s code: 03189996

Reviewer’s country: United States

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-07-13

Date reviewed: 2018-07-22

Review time: 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript (MS) by Drs. Koutsoukou and Pecchiari reviews the phenomenon of expiratory flow-limitation (EFL) in ventilated patients. Major part of the MS is devoted to the pathophysiology and assessment in ARDS patients which is expected. However,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

other diseases heralded by ventilatory impairment are briefly discussed, namely COPD, heart failure, along with the effects of positioning and anesthesia. The MS is well balanced and offers a solid overview to a somewhat educated reader. No straightforward clinical recommendations are made and thus the clinical message of the MS could be limited. It does not seem that this was the primary aim of the authors. An almost sole example of a “hidden” second-handed message is on page 8 - “A PEEP of 10 cmH₂O abolished EFL... [ref 34].” Indeed, it seems that “PEEP 10” is the new “PEEP 5” as was previously deemed to represent a “physiologic PEEP”. The only recommendation I may have is replacing the word “enhanced” with “compromised” on P7 end of first paragraph. While you certainly mean that FRC is reduced by anesthesia and in other scenarios, i.e. the reduction is enhanced, an inexperienced reader may wrongly assume that FRC is enhanced as this word (i.e. FRC) immediately precedes the verb.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com