

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hypertension

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14448

**Title:** Endocrine hypertension – an overview on the current etiopathogenesis and management options

**Reviewer's code:** 00220901

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-05 10:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-02 22:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article entitled “Endocrine hypertension – an overview on the current etiopathogenesis and management options.” is an updated and a well-written paper. I have several comments as follows: Comments 1. In page 3, at “Aldosterone producing adenomas section”; the unit of urinary levels of aldosterone is not clearly defined. 2. In page 4, at “Laboratory Testing” section; the approximate percentage of hypokalemia in patients with hyperaldosteronism could be mentioned. 3. In page 4, at “Screening” section; the authors should mention that plasma aldosterone and renin values testing is performed in the morning on a seated ambulatory patient (Funder JW, 2008, JCEM, Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline). 4. In page 5, at “Screening” section; the authors need to mention the units of plasma aldosterone and plasma renin activity for the mentioned PA/PRA cut-off values such as 32 and 30. 5. In page 7 the authors have to add reference or references after the sentence; “Recent research has focused on how to best utilize computerized tomography (CT) scan versus adrenal vein sampling (AVS) in order to correctly identify those patients who may potentially be cured with surgery.” 6. In page 12-13, at section “Primary Hyperaldosteronism and associated



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

genetic disorders", the authors need to mention the recently defined genetic hyperaldosteronism, familial syndrome Type 3 (familial hyperaldosteronism type 3). 7. In page 13, at "conclusion section" the sentence "For proper diagnosis of APA, adrenal venous sampling (AVS)...." need to be changed as " AVS is the most reliable technique used to distinguish a true unilateral adenoma (APA) from bilateral disease notably IHA". 8. At the end of "Primary aldosteronism" section, a table summarizing the clinical features and diagnostic criteria of primary hyperaldosteronism would be useful for the reader. 9. Reference number 68 needs to be written more clearly.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hypertension

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14448

**Title:** Endocrine hypertension – an overview on the current etiopathogenesis and management options

**Reviewer's code:** 02445430

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-05 10:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-21 21:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is an overview of the main causes of hypertension caused by endocrine diseases. It is extremely well written, clear, easy to read and follow, and gives useful informations to the readers about the topic. It is indeed acceptable for publication in the World Journal of Hypertension, after some minor revisions. Minor revisions: The titles of Chapters and subchapters should be better highlighted and organized: in its current form the structure is identical for every subchapter, and this might create confusion to the reader. If possible, a few tables should be added, to summarize, for example, the diagnostic procedures for every disease, or their different treatments. This might improve the appeal of the paper. Some figures (e.g. ct/rm images or intraoperative findings) can also be added to this purpose.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hypertension

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14448

**Title:** Endocrine hypertension – an overview on the current etiopathogenesis and management options

**Reviewer's code:** 01252039

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-10-05 10:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-18 10:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a very well written review article.