



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 14336

Title: NOVEL CONTRIBUTIONS OF MULTIMODALITY IMAGING IN HYPERTENSION. A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Reviewer's code: 00607649

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-30 08:37

Date reviewed: 2014-10-11 16:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Alexanderson-Rosas et al. provide a narrative review for the progress and usefulness of current three major imaging techniques in clinic for diagnosis and differentiation of cardiovascular disease, especially on hypertensive cardiomyopathy. Overall, it is very generic description for CMR, CT and PET/CT and lack of depiction on major concepts and parameters in details. For example, how to define diastolic dysfunction in hypertrophic hearts using these imaging techniques. As fibrosis is a hallmarker in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, increased collagen deposition even occurs earlier than any symptom manifestation, it is important to know the diagnostic standard for intramyocardial fibrosis in the heart. However, based on the definition of "narrative review" it may be adequate. Authors provided 9 figures but no of them have been referred into the text part.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 14336

Title: NOVEL CONTRIBUTIONS OF MULTIMODALITY IMAGING IN HYPERTENSION. A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Reviewer's code: 01482015

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-09-30 08:37

Date reviewed: 2014-10-03 14:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: The narrative review paper is well written. Some grammar errors should be corrected before acceptance. Some revised sentences were made in the attached doc. The content seems fine to be accepted after a revision of many grammar errors in the manuscript.