



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 16251

Title: Hypertensive Cardiomyopathy: A Clinical Approach and Literature Review

Reviewer's code: 00631853

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-07 19:41

Date reviewed: 2015-03-09 01:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting review that extends our knowledge on the hypertensive cardiomyopathy. The analysis is convincing, the manuscript is coherent and easy to follow, and the references are appropriate (except that references 53 & 54 are duplicate).



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 16251

Title: Hypertensive Cardiomyopathy: A Clinical Approach and Literature Review

Reviewer's code: 00152215

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-07 19:41

Date reviewed: 2015-02-21 17:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting and well written manuscript. Literature review is well cited and comprehensive. This contribution will certainly prove a useful reading for the readers of the WJH. I only have one minor comment: -the Authors may want to add a short mention to a recently proposed new classification of LV geometry in hypertensive patients which takes into account the presence of both left ventricular dilatation and concentricity (see Khouri MG, et al., Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2010). This classification has been shown to add prognostic information (see Bang CN, et al., J Hypertens 2013).



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 16251

Title: Hypertensive Cardiomyopathy: A Clinical Approach and Literature Review

Reviewer’s code: 00608305

Reviewer’s country: Poland

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-07 19:41

Date reviewed: 2015-01-12 23:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Sir That is a very interesting, contemporary and extensive overview of clinical aspects of hypertensive cardiomyopathy. It is a well-structured and well written article presenting the large spectrum of epidemiological, pathological , diagnostics and therapeutical aspects of hypertensive heart disease. The overview includes well selected literature data and references from early 1980 with the majority from 2001 to 2009 and also some of the newest ones from 2014 and 2015. Presented tables are reproduced from original articles and are appropriately quoted. I hope that Authors received a written permission from the publishers to use those figures and photos in this very interesting article. Congratulations for your work



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension

ESPS manuscript NO: 16251

Title: Hypertensive Cardiomyopathy: A Clinical Approach and Literature Review

Reviewer's code: 00070411

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-07 19:41

Date reviewed: 2015-02-28 10:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a general literature review about hypertensive cardiomyopathy. The authors reviewed epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, diagnostic evaluation, and treatment options of hypertensive cardiomyopathy. However, there are several major issues that need attention.

1. Abstract - The abstract should be stated briefly and succinctly.
2. This manuscript is by far too long, it should be significantly shortened.
3. In this review, the author just listed the data that has been reported, lacking analysis and critical discussion.
4. References—Ref. 53 and 54 are duplicate references, hence the duplicate Ref. 54 has been deleted and renumbering has been done. Please check and confirm the edit.
5. The authors should check the entire manuscript for linguistic errors.