ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension
ESPS manuscript NO: 20691
Title: Regression of cardiovascular remodeling in hypertension: Novel relevant mechanisms
Reviewer's code: 00253523
Reviewer's country: United States
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-06-18 08:42
Date reviewed: 2015-07-03 09:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C: Good		[ ] Duplicate publication	
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[Y] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review described the role of Rho kinase, RAS-related vasodilatory peptides, and estrogen in hypertension and cardiovascular remodeling. This review article seems to be well-written; however, major revisions are need to clarify the purpose of this review and to be easily understandable for the readers.   1. The topic of this article suggested by the current title is too broad. Therefore, this reviewer suggests that the title would be changed concretely.   2. In this article, the authors focused on Rho kinase, RAS-related vasodilatory peptides, and estrogen. However, relationship among these topics is poorly described. At least, the relationship between Rho kinase and RAS-related vasodilatory peptides should be described. In addition, this reviewer feels that the estrogen section is not needed and should be deleted.   3. To better understand the role of Rho kinase, it would be better to add a figure schematically describing this pathway involved in hypertension and cardiovascular remodeling.   4. Figure 3: This figure doesn't reflect the text. The key peptides described in the subtitle should be covered and included.   5. The conclusion or summary section should be added.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension
ESPS manuscript NO: 20691
Title: Regression of cardiovascular remodeling in hypertension: Novel relevant mechanisms
Reviewer's code: 00503204
Reviewer's country: Greece
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-06-18 08:42
Date reviewed: 2015-06-20 18:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[Y] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive review article. I would like to ask the authors to present limitations and drawbacks of each agent they describe.