ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension
ESPS manuscript NO: 22236
Title: Roles of catecholamine related polymorphisms in hypertension
Reviewer's code: 02520738
Reviewer's country: Italy
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2015-08-24 10:10
Date reviewed: 2015-08-26 02:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good		[ ] Duplicate publication	
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[Y] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To: Lian-Sheng Ma President and Company Editor-in-Chief World Journal of Hypertension   Title: "Roles of catecholamine related polymorphisms in hypertension"   Dear Editor, We have read through the manuscript and we think that several limitations could be outlined: 1) This paper is a good insight in hypertensive condition. It points out some issues that should be better expressed in the international guidelines. Please accordingly discuss the paper from Scicchitano P et al. Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal 2015;3(4): 181-191.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hypertension
ESPS manuscript NO: 22236
Title: Roles of catecholamine related polymorphisms in hypertension
Reviewer's code: 02495532
Reviewer's country: United States
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2015-08-24 10:10
Date reviewed: 2015-09-04 00:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[Y] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Two comment,   - It is just need more organization and presented in more simple manner with better flow e.g. adding mini conclusion at the end of each section to sum up the literature review for ADRB1,2&3 etc... - The language of MS has to be revised and re-edited