

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases*

**Manuscript NO:** 77434

**Title:** Five-year retrospective hospital-based study on epidemiological data regarding human leishmaniasis in West Kordofan state, Sudan

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05847926

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Sudan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-04-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-05-21 02:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-22 14:35

**Review time:** 1 Day and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted a five years retrospective study to find the frequency and distribution of human leishmaniasis in West Kordofan state. And the results indicate that leishmaniasis is endemic in the study areas, which is useful to inform the health care policymakers and the governments to applied proper health and economic policies. However, there are still several obvious problems in this manuscript: 1.The abstract section of the manuscript is poor and unclear, especially in the methodology part, there is no description of a clear diagnostic methods, and even the meaning of 4.39% positive rate is not understand well. 2.It is too rough in the materials and methods, and there is not a clear diagnostic criteria, inclusion criteria or excluding standards. Therefore, the result will become unbelievable. 3.The author emphasized the gender differences and annual differences of the positive rate, but whether these features have internal associations, the author should try to interpret them, otherwise these results will be only accidental phenomenon. 4.In the discussion, the author mentioned the impact about new diagnostic standards and new intervention strategies on the results of the research, but the authors did not interpret specific content, which were considered to be very important for the differences in the results of the research. All of that, the conclusion of this manuscript lacks new ideas compared with previous research, and has not discovered or proposed new disease characteristics and intervention strategies, which should be a problem worth thinking about.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases*

**Manuscript NO:** 77434

**Title:** Five-year retrospective hospital-based study on epidemiological data regarding human leishmaniasis in West Kordofan state, Sudan

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05906378

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Sudan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-04-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-05-27 03:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-27 04:24

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Comments: 1. The first sentence of the abstract and introduction are the same; please rephrase one of them. 2. Did not find the result of the type of leishmaniasis: Please classify the prevalence of LC and VC and their occurrence ratio in males and females. 3. The age group should also be divided into neonatal, pediatrics, and adults. 4. From the medical records, you can also get the site of infections; if possible, include that data too. 5. The acute and chronic states of infections also need to be included. 6. The patient types, morbidity rate, and outcomes of infections need to be included. 7. The treatment used for curing infections needs to be mentioned. Knowing about the efficacy of different medicine in your state will provide a guideline for the prescribers. 8. In your study, the old aged people are more vulnerable to infections, while the prevalence is low in > 65 age patients. What is the possible reason for this? You may explain this in your discussion section. I will give you a hint that these patients might have less exposure to the infection due to their lifestyle. 9. Please include the limitation and implementation of your study in the discussion section. 10. The conclusion is not sufficient and needs to be improved and rewritten.