

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11622

**Title:** Surface adhesion and host response as pathogenicity factors of *N. meningitidis*

**Reviewer's code:** 00505256

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-28 22:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-24 03:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review describes *Neisseria meningitidis* adhesion. Overall, this is a fairly good review. However, there are issues with tense (past/present in the same sentence) and a few grammatical errors, incomplete sentences. The second half of the abstract focuses on a small part of the review and ignores most of the paper. Editorial assistance should be sought. The Figure 1 legend needs a title. There should be a summary at the end. There should also be some mention of vaccine development against subtypes and whether adhesion factors are good candidates for new vaccines.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11622

**Title:** Surface adhesion and host response as pathogenicity factors of *N. meningitidis*

**Reviewer's code:** 00069534

**Reviewer's country:** Afghanistan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-28 22:54

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-21 21:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments Some of written format should be corrected and questions are highlighted by yellow color. Regarding fimbriae and pili part is too loose, consider to rewrite becomes more condense. Suggest: Revision