

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

ESPS manuscript NO: 20415

Title: How could we reduce antifungal use in the intensive care unit?

Reviewer's code: 00506601

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-08 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-06-10 03:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a brief report on the use of anti-fungal medication in critical care patients. In general it is a brief review of the literature since data is not presented. Specific comments: On page3 the first sentence, please supply a reference. Second paragraph same page; the authors state the side effects of empirical antifungal treatment include antifungal resistance, side effects... What side effects? These need to be enumerated. Since the paper is so brief I think the authors should add some substance to this paper by outlining the guidelines they developed in their 10 year study to reduce anti-fungal treatment instead of only referencing the paper. Page 4 first paragraph second sentence. Its results WILL be very helpful...

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

ESPS manuscript NO: 20415

Title: How could we reduce antifungal use in the intensive care unit?

Reviewer's code: 00502828

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-08 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-06-26 07:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y]No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Only two main strategies are described in the section "Strategies to reduce antifungal use". Furthermore, the former one has been established. Combined use of β -D 1, 3-glucan, mannan, mannan-antibody may be interesting but not available in routine laboratory tests.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

ESPS manuscript NO: 20415

Title: How could we reduce antifungal use in the intensive care unit?

Reviewer's code: 00502903

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-08 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-07-06 07:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Succinct and generally well-written. Please provide a bit more detail regarding the use of beta-D-glucan, mannan, and antimannan to stop empirical antifungal treatment: which reference, and what is the predicted effect on mortality or missed cases of true fungal infection?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

ESPS manuscript NO: 20415

Title: How could we reduce antifungal use in the intensive care unit?

Reviewer's code: 00502807

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-08 17:22

Date reviewed: 2015-07-07 13:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors highlighted a very important issue in their editorial. There is some scope to improve this manuscript. Suggestions are: Please define empirical, prophylactic, pre-emptive, targeted anti-fungal therapy. Heading 'Strategies to reduce antifungal use' could have subheadings like at time of start, de-escalation, duration of antifungal treatment. There should be mention of some other important strategies like: knowing local epidemiological data, sensitivity pattern.