

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Pharmacology*

**Ms:** wjp/2012/000017

**Title:** Retinoblastoma and Treatment: A current evaluation of advanced therapy

**Reviewer code:** 0000013

**Science editor:** WJP

**Date sent for review:** 2012-02-24 19:40:41.0

**Date reviewed:** 2012-04-10 22:04:27.0

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: priority publishir	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Withdraw
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	WJP Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO EDITOR:

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS: The review of Murray and colleagues deals with the advancement in retinoblastoma treatment. The manuscript is well written and focussed. In line with the aim of the journal, the content is of interest to basic and clinically oriented scientists. Moreover, authors give a balanced view providing a summary of the most recent publications in the field. I have some minor points that I would be grateful if you could consider. 1) At the end of the introduction, the authors should better clarify the aim/goal of their review. They should explain the need of a new review on this field in order to help the critical reading by the audience. 2) The description of the focal laser ablative therapy is lacking. A new paragraph on laser therapy would make reading easier also for those researcher not in the field. In addition, it would be important a critical evaluation by the authors of the role of laser therapy itself. The manuscript contains different typos.

## WITHDRAW

*Letter from the author*

*Comments of science editors*

*Comments of editorial office*

*Comments of editor-in-chief*