

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12145

Title: Perspectives of antiviral therapeutics for hepatitis C after liver transplantation

Reviewer code: 00503486

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-27 08:43

Date reviewed: 2014-09-03 05:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good discussion of hepatitis C and its problems. The paper is important and should be published. There are some minor English problems that can be easily fixed.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12145

Title: Perspectives of antiviral therapeutics for hepatitis C after liver transplantation

Reviewer code: 00227651

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-27 08:43

Date reviewed: 2014-08-12 03:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

After reading the review I would suggest some minor revisions. First of all some polishing (editing) of English will significantly improve the paper. Examples: page 4, line 10- remove word "nicely", page 5, line 8 - remove "druggable", page 5, line 15 - remove "nicely", page 8, line 2 - remove "living-related" Second- the authors should define all abbreviations when first appear in the text.