

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15571

Title: Novel Therapeutics and Vaccine Development in the Treatment of Leishmaniasis

Reviewer's code: 00503496

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 15:46

Date reviewed: 2014-12-31 21:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review Report Title: Novel Therapeutics and Vaccine Development in the Treatment of Leishmaniasis #15571 This is a very comprehensive review of therapeutics and vaccine development in the treatment and vaccine of leishmaniasis. It is well written and easy to follow. Table 1 is a very useful summary of the therapeutic options for treatment of leishmaniasis. I have two comments. 1. The title word "novel" is somewhat misleading. This is a review of available treatments not developing new treatments. My suggestion is to delete the word "Novel". 2. It needs to have an abstract so that the readers can quickly ascertain the paper's purpose and content, and the article can be indexed for PubMed searching.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15571

Title: Novel Therapeutics and Vaccine Development in the Treatment of Leishmaniasis

Reviewer's code: 00503345

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 15:46

Date reviewed: 2014-12-20 06:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a concise review. A sentence on the mechanisms of drug resistance to pentavalent antimony and amphotericin B (drug efflux and/or sequestration by parasites) could be informative. The authors could mention in their review that imoquimod is a TLR7 agonist.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15571

Title: Novel Therapeutics and Vaccine Development in the Treatment of Leishmaniasis

Reviewer's code: 00503482

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 15:46

Date reviewed: 2015-01-11 19:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This comprehensive review about the treatment of and vaccine development in leishmaniasis is well written and can be fluently read. It is an informative review. I have got a few suggestions about the paper: 1. The treatment reviewed in the text is not limited to new treatment modalities; therefore the title "novel" therapeutics ... may be changed in a way better representing the review. 2. There are small spelling and typing mistakes (suggested corrections are done in the attached file).

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15571

Title: Novel Therapeutics and Vaccine Development in the Treatment of Leishmaniasis

Reviewer's code: 00503486

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 15:46

Date reviewed: 2014-12-16 04:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a potentially useful review. It is plagued by misspellings and typos. There are several minor English problems that can be easily fixed.