

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15510

Title: Challenges and opportunities in the treatment of ulcerative colitis

Reviewer's code: 00504904

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:41

Date reviewed: 2014-12-18 16:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is missing of the newer drugs in the treatment of ulcerative colitis and, in addition, does not improve the old knowledge on the subject. Therefore, the manuscript should be rejected

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15510

Title: Challenges and opportunities in the treatment of ulcerative colitis

Reviewer's code: 00504345

Reviewer's country: Bulgaria

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:41

Date reviewed: 2015-01-08 18:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript submitted sufficiently complete reflect possible pharmacotherapeutic approaches to treat ulcerative colitis. What is missing and should be completed is what would be the possible side effects after herbal medicines usage regardless of the few controlled clinical data.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15510

Title: Challenges and opportunities in the treatment of ulcerative colitis

Reviewer's code: 03030899

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:41

Date reviewed: 2014-12-13 01:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors summarize 31 different medicinal plants used for the treatment of ulcerative colitis (UC), but do not demonstrate the effect of these plants on UC in double blind studies. They postulate, that synthetic drugs (5-ASA, Corticosteroids, Immunomodulators, etc.) are associated with many disadvantages, therefore therapy with medicinal plants is an "effective and safe" alternative drug treatment. But the "Ulcerative Colitis Practice Guidelines in Adults, American College of Gastroenterology (2010)" do not say a word about medicinal plants in UC therapy and the Guidelines of "Deutsche Gesellschaft für Verdauungs- und Stoffwechsel-krankheiten, 2011" recommend only *Plantago ovata* for remission maintenance therapy and Curcumin (*curcuma longa*) complementary with 5-ASA for remission maintenance. Other alternative methods were not recommended, because only insufficient data are available in these studies. Alternative and complementary treatment options were not recommended in acute or severe ulcerative colitis.

Unfortunately the authors only characterize briefly the plants and their pharmacological effect, but they do not evaluate and rank their therapeutic value.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Pharmacology

ESPS manuscript NO: 15510

Title: Challenges and opportunities in the treatment of ulcerative colitis

Reviewer's code: 00504952

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-11-29 13:41

Date reviewed: 2015-01-05 10:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe the manuscript is well revised and suitable for publication. Congratulation, authors.