

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 66645

Title: Physical activity and mental well-being during COVID-19 pandemic

Reviewer's code: 05824998

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-11 12:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-17 18:24

Review time: 6 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The question of 'why' is more important when starting to write a review. In background the relationship between period of quarantine and enhancement of anxiety and depression should be further established with adequate research finding, which is lagging here. The issue of 'Community services integration' is not contextualized with the focus and objective of the review. To provide an unbiased point of view for the reader regarding the current literature, review should be written in a systematic fashion. The new concepts have not established properly. Research findings are inadequate in respect to the objective of the review article. The major limitation is that method of selection of research articles are not specified, hence the 'how' of the review literature is absent. So the selection biases could not be avoided. If the issue of cardiovascular impact of exercise training is needed to address, need to address it separately with substantial findings. Conclusion remain mere repetition of the introduction. The conclusion should established the core findings of the review which should indicate specific focus of future research.