

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 69455

Title: Spectrum of neuropsychiatric symptoms in chronic post-stroke aphasia

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05306560 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-30 22:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-05 07:53

Review time: 4 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: This is a frontier article focusing on the spectrum of neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) in chronic post-stroke aphasia. The authors made a comprehensive review about the neuropsychiatric symptoms in people with aphasia and post-stroke aphasia. They also conducted the neuropsychiatric study in 20 patients who had a preceding stroke with the sequela of chronic aphasia. The authors concluded that NPS are frequent in the chronic phase of post-stroke aphasia This is a well-written manuscript which may provide useful information to the readers. There are several points to be concerned. 1. The manuscript was too lengthy. It's better to streamline the content to make it easier to read. 2. Too many abbreviations can easily confuse readers. By the way, does PWA stand for person or people with aphasia? 3. Would the authors summarize the neuropsychiatric symptoms as a table list? 4. For small patient groups using non-parametrical analyses, data are better expressed as median instead of mean.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 69455

Title: Spectrum of neuropsychiatric symptoms in chronic post-stroke aphasia

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05916903 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-01 09:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-14 17:50

Review time: 13 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please find my feedback and comments in the document attached.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 69455

Title: Spectrum of neuropsychiatric symptoms in chronic post-stroke aphasia

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05306560 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Docotr

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-30

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-24 12:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-10 07:34

Review time: 16 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have made essential corrections according my comments. There is no more comment from me now. The manuscript is suitable for publication at present.