

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 74223

Title: Treatment outcome, cognitive function, and psychopathology in methamphetamine users compared to other substance users

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05322345

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: Germany

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-23 08:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-23 08:17

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study entitled "Treatment outcome, cognitive function and psychopathology in methamphetamine users compared to other substance users" seems to have been generally well executed and written. Furthermore, I believe that this topic will be of great interest to the readers. I have only a few minor suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. Title Please add the type of your study in the title. Introduction Please state the hypothesis of your study at the end of Introduction.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 74223

Title: Treatment outcome, cognitive function, and psychopathology in methamphetamine users compared to other substance users

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05270700

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: Germany

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-29 08:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-06 07:58

Review time: 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an empirical field study, reporting comparative analysis between metamphetamine users and other drug users in terms of cognitive, psychosocial functioning and treatment adherence. There have been outlined differences between the two groups which however do not affect the effectiveness from inpatient treatment. In that respect the prognosis of treatment outcome in metamphetamine drug users is defined as comparable to that in other drug users.