

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 82380

Title: Reducing psychiatric illness in the perinatal period: A review and commentary

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03547306

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-16 20:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-16 20:58

Review time: 1 Hour

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

very nice

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 82380

Title: Reducing psychiatric illness in the perinatal period: A review and commentary

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06331556

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, Doctor, MSc

Professional title: Professor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-16 21:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-24 22:08

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear, I bring below my humble considerations inherent to the paper: This is a very relevant topic that is not treated with the same importance as other psychiatric conditions, regardless of race, patient's socioeconomic level or country's level of development. 1- The introduction could better discuss the concept of PPI in the third paragraph. 2- Since universal intervention is the approach adopted for a possible solution, its concept should be better described so that readers can understand its real meaning and scope. 3- When contextualizing universal intervention, it is not clear whether or not this is a new approach. 4- Being or not a new approach, I understand that it is important to correlate universal screening and universal intervention, bringing point by point their advantages and disadvantages. 5- In the potential impact item, considering that it is a new approach and without clear evidence of benefit, I do not believe it is correct to say that this approach “eliminates” the stigma or “will improve” the results, as there are doubts as to its real benefit.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 82380

Title: Reducing psychiatric illness in the perinatal period: A review and commentary

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04726030

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Editor, Academic Fellow, Academic Research, Lecturer, Research Fellow, Senior Postdoctoral Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-29 15:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-29 15:18

Review time: 1 Hour

| | |
|---|--|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation |

| | |
|---|--|
| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I commend the authors for treating this important topic and highlighting the ways in which current standard of practice is failing to address the disparities and global crisis of perinatal psychiatric illness (PPI). I am more impressed with the fact that they offered an alternative framework for consideration in dealing with PPI. There is a clear connection between the research title and the major problem. The problem significance and research focus were adequately discussed.