

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 85219

Title: Global research trends and mapping knowledge structure of depression in dialysis

patients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05789838

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-18 06:15

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-24 16:17

Review time: 6 Days and 10 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The purpose of this study is to examine the evolution and growth of publications related to dialysis and depression. The objectives are to determine the quantity of publications, the most active countries, funding and sponsoring institutions, and journals, as well as to conduct citation and research topic analysis. Overall, I believe this paper holds significant value. However, considering the inherent limitations of bibliometric studies, I suggest that the authors make the following modifications: 1. The authors should pay more attention to the analysis and clustering of different keywords. It is important to explore the associations between each keyword and other related keywords, which requires further analysis. 2. From the perspective of bibliometrics, the paper should provide a more in-depth analysis on how to reflect the future research directions. These revisions will enhance the quality and impact of the paper.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 85219

Title: Global research trends and mapping knowledge structure of depression in dialysis

patients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05995354

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-25 07:32

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-25 07:39

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 []Grade A: Excellent [Y]Grade B: Good []Grade C: Fair []Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

While the article provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on dialysis and depression, there are several areas where it could be improved. Firstly, the article could benefit from a more detailed explanation of the methodology used to conduct the study. While the authors briefly mention that they used Scopus as the target database and VOSviewer software to create network maps, they do not provide a detailed explanation of how they selected the publications to include in the study or how they analyzed the data. Secondly, the article could benefit from a more critical evaluation of the limitations of bibliometric and visualization mapping. While these methods can be useful for identifying research trends and knowledge gaps, they are not without limitations, such as the potential for bias in the selection of publications and the inability to capture the full complexity of research topics. Finally, the article could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the implications of the study's findings for future research on dialysis and depression



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 85219

Title: Global research trends and mapping knowledge structure of depression in dialysis

patients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05789838

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Palestine

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-28 01:38

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-28 01:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have made revisions based on the reviewers' comments and I have no further comments.