PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 89999

Title: Clinicopathological features, psychological status, and prognosis of 33 patients with occult breast cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07746442

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-22 08:43

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-30 08:05

Review time: 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[　] Grade A: Excellent　　[Y] Grade B: Good　　　[　] Grade C: Fair [　] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[　] Grade A: Priority publishing　[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing　[　] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing　[　] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[　] Accept (High priority)　[　] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision　[　] Major revision　[　] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes　[　] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous　[　] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [　] Yes　[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study investigated the clinicopathological features, psychological status, and prognostic factors of patients with occult breast cancer. The study is well designed and performed. The findings are interesting and well discussed. After a minor editing of the manuscript, it can be accepted. Please edit the manuscript carefully, include some minor language polishing, and please take attention about some blanks between the words and punctuations, which are seems missing.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Psychiatry*

Manuscript NO: 89999

Title: Clinicopathological features, psychological status, and prognosis of 33 patients with occult breast cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07746862

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-21 02:15

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-30 09:48

Review time: 9 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of psychological status and prognostic factors of breast cancer patients. The study is overall well designed. Comments: 1. The manuscript should be edited for both format and English. 2. The introduction is too brief, please enlarge this section. 3. Please list the limit of the study. 4. Quality of images should be improved.