

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 90461

Title: Navigating the intersection of psychiatry and ophthalmology: A comprehensive review of depression and anxiety management in glaucoma patients

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05946096

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-28 22:03

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-01 20:26

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your work is quite innovative for the field. I have stated a couple of points in the appendix. enjoy your work.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 90461

Title: Navigating the intersection of psychiatry and ophthalmology: A comprehensive review of depression and anxiety management in glaucoma patients

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02445209

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: N/A, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-01 18:37

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-08 16:47

Review time: 6 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
	[] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I have a few comments on your manuscript: - Your manuscript is rather general, with no exact numbers from the referenced studies (e.g. number of the patients, P values), it resembles a text in a textbook more than a text in a professional scientific journal - Introduction: No exact scientific data are stated. The text is rather a summary than an introduction of a scientific article. In my opinion, the part Introducton is not necessary, the manuscript can start with the next part (Prevalence and Risk Factors...) -You quote a lot of studies in your manuscript but you did not describe how you selected the referenced literature (which database of articles, which key words you applied, which language of the articles you accepted, based on what you selected the referenced articles etc.) - The topic of your manuscript is interesting and valuable, but it requires more exact scientific data, not only general summaries of the referenced studies The reviewer



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry Manuscript NO: 90461 Title: Navigating the intersection of psychiatry and ophthalmology: A comprehensive review of depression and anxiety management in glaucoma patients Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 02445209 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: N/A, MD, PhD **Professional title:** Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic Author's Country/Territory: India Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-04 Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen Reviewer accepted review: 2024-02-22 05:49 Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-22 05:55 Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I do not have any other comments.