

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14996

**Title:** Neuropsychiatric genetics in developing countries: Current challenges

**Reviewer code:** 02445250

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-03 19:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-06 14:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper describes in brief the current challenges for the development of genetic research in neuropsychiatry in Low and Middle Income countries. It is a well-written and I have no critical comments. Minor point "Research ON the areas" should read "Research IN the areas" throughout the paper.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 14996

**Title:** Neuropsychiatric genetics in developing countries: Current challenges

**Reviewer code:** 02445209

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-03 19:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-06 15:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors, I really liked your Editorial. I do not have any other comments. The reviewer