

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23011

**Title:** Failure of memantine to “reverse” quinpirole-induced hypomotility

**Reviewer’s code:** 02860540

**Reviewer’s country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-10-14 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2015-12-12 06:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the abstract, method section please change “hrs” in “hours” What do you mean with FST? Please specify this abbreviation. I think that the manuscript is suitable of publication in this journal.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23011

**Title:** Failure of memantine to “reverse” quinpirole-induced hypomotility

**Reviewer’s code:** 02445242

**Reviewer’s country:** India

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-10-14 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2015-12-18 00:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[ Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[ Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well written paper, which adds to the evidence for the use of memantine in bipolar disorder. I have no particular comments or suggestions.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 23011

**Title:** Failure of memantine to “reverse” quinpirole-induced hypomotility

**Reviewer’s code:** 02445272

**Reviewer’s country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-10-14 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2015-12-30 13:17

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a result of behavioral challenge tests of NMDA receptor antagonist memantine to clarify if memantine has antidepressant-like effects or not. I’ll request authors to explain several points before acceptance. 1. Page 3, the authors written a sentence with citing a reference<sup>18</sup> “However, we have recently reported that memantine fails to...”. However, any author’s names of this manuscript were found on the reference No. 18. No. 18 seems to be a review. So, cite the original paper that includes the results of memantine administration on FST. Abbreviation should be described fully at the first appearance, for example for FST. 2. Page 3, for the sentence “Moreover, we have recently reported preclinical and... mood-stabilizing action.”, citation of reference is needed. 3. Please mention rationale of the use of locomotor response to quinpirole to clarify antidepressant-like effect of chemicals in Introduction. 4. For all figures, scales of vertical axis should be explained in figure legends, which should include units or method of calculation. 5. Please check description in figure legends, for example,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P \leq 0.05$  and  $P < 10^{-3}$  are mixed.