

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 26625

Title: Self-reported and behavioural impulsivity in anorexia nervosa

Reviewer's code: 02445272

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-20 10:02

Date reviewed: 2016-05-04 08:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I propose several questions. In Abstract, it was described that "The rate of omission or commission errors on the CPT did not differ between groups ($p < 0.05$)". This sentence seems to indicate no significance, but the p value shows significance. It was confused. Furthermore, the description that shows this p values was not found in the section of Results. Please add information in the Results, too. Regarding Continuous Performance Tests, what does response time mean? Please explain it in the Methods. In addition, please describe definitions of proportion, Hits and False alarms with relations to omission errors or commission errors in the Methods.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 26625

Title: Self-reported and behavioural impulsivity in anorexia nervosa

Reviewer's code: 00202286

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-20 10:02

Date reviewed: 2016-05-12 22:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the authors claim that there is a discrepancy between self-reported and behavioural impulsivity in patients with anorexia nervosa (AN). The study appears to have been carefully planned with appropriate tests and adequate controls. The results are interesting and can lead to novel therapeutic approaches in AN. AN should be spelled out in the Abstract.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 26625

Title: Self-reported and behavioural impulsivity in anorexia nervosa

Reviewer's code: 02445298

Reviewer's country: Slovenia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-20 10:02

Date reviewed: 2016-05-19 20:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear dr. Lian-Sheng Ma, President and Company Editor-in-Chief, The article "Self-Reported and Behavioural Impulsivity in Anorexia Nervosa" I cannot recommend for a publication in WJP. The topic does not contribute any new findings or conclusions. The paper is difficult to read and understand. The authors leap from theme to theme and in many paragraphs there is too much deviousness without a relevant data for a reader. Abstract AN abbreviation needs explanation. Introduction Anorexia nervosa is a disorder with planned weight loss, fear about obesity and diets. Obsessive behaviors and perfectionistic tendencies are more core symptoms in Obsessive compulsive disorder. ADHD abbreviation needs explanation. ADHD and Anorexia nervosa has very few clinical, psychological and etiological correlations. This should be explained to the reader. Where are the reasons to use this test? There is missing the explanation about healthy people. The aims are confusing. Discussion The authors suggest that attentional impulsivity is associated with negative mood states, but it is necessary to explain to the reader what was the purpose of this finding and they should explain it. Mood disorders could complicate the anorexia nervosa but it is not a must. IIV abbreviation needs explanation. Discussion is unclear because of unknown abbreviations. The



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

sentence: Groups in the current study did, however, differ in the mean and IIV of response times of false alarms, with increased response times and IIV in AN. – Unclear! Conclusions The negative mood states are a normal part of a anorexia nervosa, as the patient has a disproportionate and negative thoughts about her(him)self. I am sorry but I can not find any relevant and usable data and conditions from conclusions. Which ideas for a further research or clinical practice should the reader become from the conclusions?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS manuscript NO: 26625

Title: Self-reported and behavioural impulsivity in anorexia nervosa

Reviewer's code: 02445225

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-20 10:02

Date reviewed: 2016-05-29 15:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Small experimental study on the relationship between self-reported and experimentally assessed impulsivity in eating disorders. The two ways of assessment lead to divergent results which is an important little piece of information. There are only a few minor problems: 1) The paper does not discuss issues of statistical power 2) The conclusion that the inconsistency between self-report and behavior may be resolved by improving depression is speculative and only based on a statistical association. It ignores that such a conclusion should be based on longitudinal observation. In addition measures like the DASS and the BISS have unsatisfying divergent validity and this way produce false positive associations.