

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26511

**Title:** Understanding the paranoid psychosis of James: use of the repertory grid technique for case conceptualization

**Reviewer's code:** 02445209

**Reviewer's country:** Czech Republic

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-15 17:38

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-18 14:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I only have a few comments on your manuscript: Case description - the second paragraph from above: You write "aripiprazole 400 mg/d". This is probably a mistake, aripiprazole is usually given in a dose of 10-30 mg/day. As of medication, I would consider clozapine in this patient. The threatening group (the last paragraph before Discussion): "c)" is missing. Six lines above "Conclusion": There is "[word missing]". I do not understand this. The reviewer

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26511

**Title:** Understanding the paranoid psychosis of James: use of the repertory grid technique for case conceptualization

**Reviewer's code:** 02445298

**Reviewer's country:** Slovenia

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-15 17:38

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-23 16:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear dr. Lian-Sheng Ma, President and Company Editor-in-Chief, The article "Understanding the paranoid psychosis of James: use of the repertory grid technique for case conceptualization" I can recommend for a publication in WJP. The topic is interesting, informative and useful for a clinician. The paper is clearly written. It need some minor changes and explanations before publication: Introduction Page 4: Which battery of instruments was administered? Case decription Page 5: antipsychotic medication: olanzapine 20mg/d and aripiprazole 400 mg/d. the maximum daily dose for aripiprazole is 30mg or was it per 28 days as depo? It is unclear if the therapy was as monotherapy what is regular or in combination what was uncommon? Page 14 and Figure 3: A graphic display of the main axes of construction: I can not find the description of axes, what are the extremes: good – bad or positive – negative or plus – minus or self now – self ideal.... Discussion Page 18: The intervention would focus on increasing the understanding of James disorder for the parents and on easing their supposedly conflictive [word missing] – the word is really missing