

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 78138

Title: The growing challenge of post-liver transplantation non-alcoholic fatty liver

disease

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06254997 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-14 08:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-14 10:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article introduces the epidemiology, risk factors, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of fatty liver after liver transplantation in detail. It is recommended to modify the text and grammar.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 78138

Title: The growing challenge of post-liver transplantation non-alcoholic fatty liver

disease

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05208113 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-22 01:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-29 11:50

Review time: 7 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are several major concerns for addressing: 1. Section of RECURRENT NAFLD is better to be refined, since it is repetitive and long-winded. 2. EPIDEMIOLOGY section: Only several sentences have correlation with epidemiology. RECURRENT NAFLD section and DE NOVO NAFLD section should be included into EPIDEMIOLOGY section. 3. I do not think the structure and content are organized properly. This matter occur in many sections, such as EPIDEMIOLOGY, PROGNOSIS. Authors should carefully revised the relevant sections. 4. RISK FACTORS section: Several risk factors have been associated with post-LT NAFLD occurrence. These factors include common factors for all NAFLD, specific factors for post-LT NAFLD. Authors should simplify the common factors while focus on the specific factors. onpost-LT NAFLD, it is better to remove DIAGNOSIS section. 6. MANAGEMENT section: Authors should simplify the common management while focus on the specific management for post-LT NAFLD.