

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2795

Title: Isolated Small Bowel Transplantation and the impact of different immunosuppressant induction agents; 8-Years Outcome Study for Single Transplant Center

Reviewer code: 00504811

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-03-15 20:16

Date reviewed: 2013-03-29 00:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The introduction is very brief. Consider moving parts of the discussion (overview of rejection/intestinal transplant) to the introduction. The introduction should include a better explanation of why the research was conducted and review of current literature. Methods Dividing the paragraphs by time after transplant and adding headers may make this section easier to read (pre, peri, immediately post, 1 year post) What maintenance immunosuppression was used? What prophylaxis? Was it similar between time periods/induction? Was the same surgical procedure used/ surgeon? Were patients at similar risk for rejection during the study periods/ induction regimens. Mention how induction regimen was determined in the methods (time period). Results Consider organizing results by time in relation to transplant (like the methods). Include a table of demographic/characteristics by induction agent. Was there any differences? Age, gender, race, baseline creatinine. Please give more information about infections by induction regimen. Severity? Include information about gvhd, Pyle, adds WBC, platelets in the results. How many rejection were in each induction group? Discussion What is the purpose of the first paragraph? TPN was not mention in the methods or results. How does this study compare to other induction studies of thy of thymoglobulin or cam path? What was 30 day survival? This would compare surgical technique vs effect of induction. Was a multivariate analysis performed? Conclusion What is the first report?