

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4836

Title: The Current Status of Clinical Islet Transplantation

Reviewer code: 00054524

Science editor: Wang, Jin-Lei

Date sent for review: 2013-07-26 12:09

Date reviewed: 2013-08-02 20:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A (Excellent)	[] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[] Accept
[] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[] Existed	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C (Good)	[] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[] No records	[Y] Rejection
[] Grade D (Fair)	[] Grade D: rejected	[] Existed	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E (Poor)		[] No records	[] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revision should focus on patient and graft survival rate of clinical islet transplantation in the world. The table of protocols are out of the context. The immunosuppression protocol also should be revised properly since the beginning of the clinical trials. It would be useful make a table comparing the results of the clinical islet transplantation in USA x Canada x Europe and Asia.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4836

Title: The Current Status of Clinical Islet Transplantation

Reviewer code: 00504802

Science editor: Wang, Jin-Lei

Date sent for review: 2013-07-26 12:09

Date reviewed: 2013-08-05 11:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor Comments – re: Introduction Consider slight change in the order of words in the first sentence to: “Islet transplantation (IT) today is an accepted modality...” Consider slight change to the beginning of sentence in Introduction/2nd para: “The exact causes of this chronic graft function remain unclear,” Introduction, 3rd para: correct spelling of the word “recent” (currently stands as “...along with the resent efforts to improve islet ...”) Historical Perspective 2nd page (in Historical Perspective): consider replacing word of “FK506” with “tacrolimus” (or moving in parentheses after it) Please, verify: sentence start “Grafts were non-Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) matched, patients were not sensitized (negative panel reactive antibody pretransplant), islets..” – do the other mean “Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) matched”? Other Comments. The Authors may consider expanding the manuscript with these considerations 1. Would the author be able to comments on tacrolimus, MMF drug levels in the portal circulations (vs systemic levels) in the context of Islet Cell transplant injected into the portal circulations? 2. Any of the current Islet Cell transplants imply injection of Beta-cells vs entire islets? Is there a difference?