

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7936

Title: ABO INCOMPATIBLE RENAL TRANSPLANTS: GOOD OR BAD?

Reviewer code: 00503228

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 08:38

Date reviewed: 2013-12-11 15:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The review organization is well, but yet we need to know yours review protocol. How you reviewed the literature? The subject is of a broad spectrum. On what aspects you focused most? What databases you searched? 2. In several of your subcategories, you can use tables to abbreviate data, and let your articles' authors to have a broad view with less time spend. 3. Since the first author has an affiliation of Japan, and Japan is a forrunning country in the experience of ABO incompatible renal transplantation, I recommend to have a subsection for the experience from Japan. 4. Several Important clinical trials have not been cited. I just note some at the end of my comments. (You may say the number of trials are overwhelmingly high, that's why you should have restricted your review protocol more. 5. Limitations of the review have not been noticed; Some of the important trials not reviewed: 1. Toki D, Ishida H, Setoguchi K, Shimizu T, Omoto K, Shirakawa H, Iida S, Horita S, Furusawa M, Ishizuka T, Yamaguchi Y, Tanabe K. Acute antibody-mediated rejection in living ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation: long-term impact and risk factors. Am J Transplant. 2009 Mar;9(3):567-77. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02538.x. 2. Shimmura H, Tanabe K, Ishida H, Tokumoto T, Ishikawa N, Miyamoto N, Shirakawa H, Setoguchi K, Nakajima I, Fuchinoue S, Teraoka S, Toma H. Lack of correlation between results of ABO-incompatible living kidney transplantation and anti-ABO blood type antibody titers under our current immunosuppression. Transplantation. 2005 Oct 15;80(7):985-8. 3. Evaluation of immunosuppressive regimens in ABO-incompatible living kidney transplantation--single center analysis. By Ishida et al. 4. ABO-incompatible PEDIATRIC kidney transplantation in a single-center trial. By Ohta et al. 5. Multicentre trial of ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation. Japanese Biosynsorb ABO-incompatible kidney transplant study group. BY Ota et al. 6. Pinpoint targeted



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

immunosuppression: anti-CD20/MMF desensitization with anti-CD25 in successful ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation without splenectomy. By Saito et al. 7. Therapeutic plasma exchange for desensitization prior to transplantation in ABO-incompatible renal allografts. By Sivakumaran et al. 8. Incompatible Live-Donor Kidney Transplantation in the United States: Results of a National Survey. By Garonzik Wang et al.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7936

Title: ABO INCOMPATIBLE RENAL TRANSPLANTS: GOOD OR BAD?

Reviewer code: 00503322

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-12-11 08:38

Date reviewed: 2013-12-23 05:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have written a exhaustive review on ABO-incompatible renal transplantation encompassing all aspects on the subject, hence should be considered for publication in the WJT. However, the manuscript is too long containing over 8000 words, which can make it unattractive to the readers, thereby defeating purpose of the review. I would suggest the authors to consider shortening the manuscript by about a third or so, thus making readable for persons interested in transplantation.