

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7677

**Title:** Pre-and-Post Transplant considerations in patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

**Reviewer code:** 02541682

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-29 09:30

**Date reviewed:** 2013-12-19 03:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript is a good, complete and novel revision that describes a topic of current importance for human health. The issue is well developed and encompasses a broad range of topics related to liver transplantation in NAFLD patients. However, I have a comment: 1. Steatosis is considerate the hepatic expression of the metabolic syndrome. It would complete your work if this topic is developed. This paper: Elati et. al, 2013 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2013.03.009>) is a review you may take into consideration.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 7677

**Title:** Pre-and-Post Transplant considerations in patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

**Reviewer code:** 02822311

**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling

**Date sent for review:** 2013-11-29 09:30

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-04 22:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor language should be polished.