

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 17215

Title: Role of steroid maintenance in sensitized kidney transplant recipients

Reviewer's code: 00503203

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-26 10:39

Date reviewed: 2015-05-16 21:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present study evaluated the probable threshold levels of sensitization at which there a benefit with maintenance of steroids in deceased-donor kidney transplant recipients. The study is very well written, the results are clearly presented and the discussion and limitations of the study adequately addressed.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 17215

Title: Role of steroid maintenance in sensitized kidney transplant recipients

Reviewer's code: 02618391

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-02-26 10:39

Date reviewed: 2015-03-09 05:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In current Editorial titled "Role of Steroid Maintenance in Sensitized Kidney Transplant Recipients", authors analyzed 42,851 kidney transplant patients data based on United Network of Organ Sharing database and found that of steroid treatment could result in increased risk for death with functioning graft in minimally-sensitized patients and improved death-censored graft survival in highly-sensitized patients. The discovery is potentially important for kidney transplantation clinical practice. Major concerns, 1. The authors didn't indicate that if there is any risks or side effects for early withdrawal of Steroid Maintenance. 2. The conclusion is purely based on the data analysis, a future clinical trial is needed to support the finding. 3. In Figure 1 bar graph, there is no error bars and P values. 4. The figure legends are too simple, they should provide enough information for the readers to understand the figure without reading through the manuscript. Minor concerns, 1. The "Steroid" is big family of drugs, the authors should indicate which specific drug(s) used in the study. 2. There are some abbreviations didn't give the full name. 3. There are a few of word misspellings and grammar errors.