

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 21042

Title: Venous outflow reconstruction in living donor liver transplantation: Dealing with venous anomalies

Reviewer's code: 03012538

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-05 20:39

Date reviewed: 2015-07-17 17:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to emphasize the easy reading of the explanation of the different techniques. The illustrations improve the comprehension even for physicians and surgeons who are not familiarized with liver transplantation. I suggested some corrections: Page 2: second paragraph "Thus, The venoplasty of MHV tributaries...". Use "the" instead of "The" Page 3: Third paragraph. "techniques & recent" use "and" instead of "&" Page 8: Third paragraph. There is not any reference for: "none of the patients in our series had abdominal infection or graft dysfunction due to outflow compromise". Add it or include: data not published.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 21042

Title: Venous outflow reconstruction in living donor liver transplantation: Dealing with venous anomalies

Reviewer's code: 02734287

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-05 20:39

Date reviewed: 2015-07-21 00:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting paper with excellent technical details useful for all professionals dealing with LDLT.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 21042

Title: Venous outflow reconstruction in living donor liver transplantation: Dealing with venous anomalies

Reviewer's code: 03015908

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-05 20:39

Date reviewed: 2015-07-08 10:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nicely written paper about an important and interesting area. In this overview, various techniques to overcome the hepatic venous variations of liver allograft and outflow reconstruction are discussed. In my opinion, there is a global sensation of order. There are a few errors in English language, such as "backtable/back-table", "cryo-preserved/cryopreserved", and so on. I hope this article could give some valuable information for transplant clinicians.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 21042

Title: Venous outflow reconstruction in living donor liver transplantation: Dealing with venous anomalies

Reviewer's code: 01213124

Reviewer's country: Saudi Arabia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-05 20:39

Date reviewed: 2015-07-16 03:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive overview of different techniques for outflow reconstruction in LDLT. The authors have referred to some of the previous experiences, and they have also discussed in details their own experience with using ePTFE grafts. The article focused on different technical aspects especially related to the use of ePTFA grafts in outflow reconstruction. In order to advocate more liberal use of ePTFE grafts, I would respectfully suggest that the authors should elaborate more on their own outcomes with such techniques especially in terms of short and long term patency as well as graft and patient survival. I believe that outcome analysis is necessary to qualify for such strong recommendations.