

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20927

**Title:** Immunomodulation with rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin in solid organ transplantation

**Reviewer's code:** 00070310

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-29 11:07

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-06 08:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reviewed immunomodulation with rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin in solid organ transplantation. This is interesting and almost parts are well written. However, it will require some changes before it can be accepted for publication. Please show how the authors have selected literatures of rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20927

**Title:** Immunomodulation with rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin in solid organ transplantation

**Reviewer's code:** 00504335

**Reviewer's country:** Czech Repoublic

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-29 11:07

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-03 14:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is well prepared review on general properties of RATG. The review is written by researchers having experience with immunosuppression and publishing in this field. I have only two comments: 1. On p. 3 the authors claim that Tab. 1 shows? The spectrum of antibodies contained in RATG. However, Table 1 is entitled? Summary of known target antigens recognized... This should be in the same way. 2. On p. 9 the authors write that RATG expands Tregs (from the ref. 32). In the next paragraph (page 10) the authors discuss another study (ref. 33) which shows that RATG rather eliminates Tregs (without expansion of Tregs). The authors should express more exact conclusion on the effect of RATG on Tregs. 3. Numerous misprinting should be corrected.