

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 21815

Title: Post-transplant dyslipidemia: Mechanisms, diagnosis and management

Reviewer's code: 03287643

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-31 10:52

Date reviewed: 2015-10-15 05:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is a complete and thorough narrative review on post-transplant dyslipidemia. My only objection is in the second paragraph of the introduction, which I think needs restructuring. It has some comments on the metabolic syndrome which is never mentioned again in the article and I think it is beyond the scope of the manuscript. Furthermore, it refers specifically to a particular study giving figures and data. I think this study should be discussed in detail in the "Consequences..." section while in the Introduction a brief discussion of what is known and what is unknown without providing particular studies and figures is more appropriate. Otherwise, it is an excellent review.