

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 23086

Title: Hepatoduodenal ligament dissection technique during recipient hepatectomy for liver transplantation: How I do it?

Reviewer's code: 00289412

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-10-21 10:15

Date reviewed: 2015-10-23 22:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Is in the authors experience the routine transection of the gastroduodenal artery really justifiable to provide for the adequate identification of the main three contents in the hepatoduodenal ligament? Congratulations!

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

ESPS manuscript NO: 23086

Title: Hepatoduodenal ligament dissection technique during recipient hepatectomy for liver transplantation: How I do it?

Reviewer's code: 00054120

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-10-21 10:15

Date reviewed: 2015-12-01 07:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Technique of Hepatoduodenal Ligament Dissection during Recipient Hepatectomy for Liver Transplantation: How I do it? Overall is very informative article which can be of importance to surgeons in the field of liver transplantation. Few issues require the attention of the authors, there are many repetitions in the information, all the information and the detailed of the surgical procedure can be shortened and written in more concise way which makes the flow of the information more readable and understandable. Although, the authors are describing their techniques and their experience, repeating the information and too many minor details will not make their article comprehensible but rather dull and difficult to keep the attention of the readers. I suggest cutting off some of the unnecessary details and concentrate on the facts. The readers probably like to know if there is complication related to this particular technique and why they chosen this technique over the conventional one? What are the most common problems at their center that the authors faced with the conventional technique and they were able to eliminate using this technique?