

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26712

**Title:** Recent insights in the pathogenesis of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders

**Reviewer's code:** 00504150

**Reviewer's country:** Canada

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-24 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-24 11:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled, "Recent insights in the pathogenesis of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders by Morscio and Tousseyn is well written, timely and important. I am confused with journal selection. On the title page, it is clearly written as World Journal of Gastroenterology. However, on the invited e-mail for review sent by Lian-Sheng Ma to me, it is stated that this manuscript was submitted to the World Journal of Transplantation. Obviously this manuscript would not fit in the scope of the World Journal of Gastroenterology. It should be for the World Journal of Transplantation. Clarification is needed. In the abstract, the authors state that they propose stratification of PTLN according to the histological subtype and the EBV status. The readers would expect detail information regarding a new classification system in the main text. The authors should describe detail about a new classification the authors are proposing in the main text or change the last sentence in the abstract. Minor point: In the abstract the authors use a term "iatrogenic immunosuppression". I would recommend using "chronic immunosuppression" or something like that, because "iatrogenic" implies some sort of mistake or error.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26712

**Title:** Recent insights in the pathogenesis of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders

**Reviewer's code:** 03261799

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-24 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2016-04-25 00:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors review the current literature on the pathogenesis of PTLTD, particularly related to the role of EBV. They make a plea for better classification and description of PTLTDs. I have the following comments: MAJOR CONCERNS 1. This is presented in a manner that makes it very difficult for a transplant clinician to understand. It is perhaps more suited to a haemoncologist, pathologist or a researcher and I fear will not be read by the vast majority of transplant clinicians. 2. The authors assume a considerable degree of prior knowledge from the reader and repeatedly refer them to other reviews to help clarify their position. I think the authors must be more considerate to the reader if they expect anyone to read this paper. The data and its interpretation must be spelled out in a manner that readers can understand with the help of illustrations if necessary. Speaking for myself as a transplant clinician I was no wiser after reading the paper than after reading the abstract and introduction. 3. The methodology of the review has not been dealt with at all. This is another major flaw. Is the review presenting the authors potentially biased viewpoint or is it a true expression of the available literature on this subject. MINOR CONCERNS 1. Some statements regarding the incidence



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

of PTLD and the role of EBV in PTLD are made without references. 2. Unreported data is presented but its source is not provided. Presumably this is from the lab of the author.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26712

**Title:** Recent insights in the pathogenesis of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders

**Reviewer's code:** 00503228

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-24 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-01 15:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A major revision is required. The paper is not easy to follow. It should be revised and a consistent approach in the review should be followed. Some recommendations: - Title: Considering the reviewed literature presented, better to add after colons ": Roles of EBV infection and genetics alterations" - page 6: "Two early gene expression profiling studies of PTLN produced partly contradictory results..." then what are those contradictory results!? - page 6: "And how do these disorders relate to lymphoma in the general population?" what disorders? EBV+ vs. EBV-? you can't call them separate disorders now. you may talk about states - paragraphing is very poor. paragraphs need new arrangements. - the literature review under any subcategory is not consistent and sometime confusing. Subcategories and discussions need to be reorganized. For example "Craig et al. suggested ...." under subcategory of "EBV- PT-DLBCL is biologically similar to DLBCL in the general population" is nonsense. There are several instances of these. - subcategories should be named in full words not abbs. - page 9 "also the results ..." bring all the data associated with genetic alterations under its respective subcategory, , and here you can have a brief reference to them.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 26712

**Title:** Recent insights in the pathogenesis of post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorders

**Reviewer's code:** 00054465

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-04-24 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2016-05-16 04:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very well thought out review.