

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30296

**Title:** Systematic review of the negative pressure wound therapy in kidney transplant recipients

**Reviewer's code:** 00503339

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-09-28 11:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-09-28 21:30

| CLASSIFICATION                                         | LANGUAGE EVALUATION                                                  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION                                             |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |                                                                      | [Y] No                                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|                                                        |                                                                      | BPG Search:                                    |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | [Y] No                                         |                                                        |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Fascinating application of a minimally known and seldom utilized technique that just might prove beneficial in kidney transplant surgery. Both text and illustrations were well selected and should promote discussion of the topic in both Journal Clubs and Department Meetings. It will be with my Alert Switch turned on that I watch out for further trials that may support broad usage of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) - to promote wound healing when applied to kidney transplant recipients with present or probable surgical wound drainage problems.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30296

**Title:** Systematic review of the negative pressure wound therapy in kidney transplant recipients

**Reviewer's code:** 00504316

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-09-28 11:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-12 09:54

| CLASSIFICATION                                         | LANGUAGE EVALUATION                                                  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION                                             |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |                                                                      | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |                                                        |
|                                                        |                                                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |                                                        |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors made a comprehensive review on NPWT on KTx recipients. It provides useful information for clinicians. As the authors mentioned, the safety and efficacy of NPWT is required to be further confirmed by prospective studies with a large number of patients. In addition, figure 1 is unnecessary.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 30296

**Title:** Systematic review of the negative pressure wound therapy in kidney transplant recipients

**Reviewer's code:** 00504374

**Reviewer's country:** Georgia

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-09-28 11:00

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-10 02:16

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION                                                  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION                                             |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            |                                                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|                                                   |                                                                      | BPG Search:                                    |                                                        |
|                                                   |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |                                                        |
|                                                   |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |                                                        |
|                                                   |                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |                                                        |
|                                                   |                                                                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |                                                        |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

N/A