

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28552

**Title:** Effectiveness and versatility of biological prosthesis in transplanted patients

**Reviewer's code:** 00739827

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-12 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-07-28 19:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reference number 14th and 16th are the same, AmJ Surg 2013;205(1):85-101. needs to be fixed!! I highly recommend that the authors should update their references with more recent ones and try to cover all related articles -permacol and transplant

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28552

**Title:** Effectiveness and versatility of biological prosthesis in transplanted patients

**Reviewer's code:** 03260101

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-12 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-07-16 00:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

please see attachment

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28552

**Title:** Effectiveness and versatility of biological prosthesis in transplanted patients

**Reviewer's code:** 03548524

**Reviewer's country:** Serbia

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-12 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-07-21 06:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have presented their initial experience with the use of Permacol mesh in transplanted patients. The overall experience with the use of biological meshes in transplanted patients is rather limited and there are no many articles in the literature. The number of patients presented in this article is small and their incisional hernias are quite different. This should be highlighted. The same mesh is used for different incisional hernia. Different figures are repeating the same message therefore I suggest removing figures 1,2a and 3. The reference list should be complemented with the following articles Schaffellner S, Sereinigg M, Wagner D, et al. Ventral incisional hernia (VIH) repair after liver transplantation (OLT) with a biological mesh: experience in 3 cases. Z Gastroenterol. 2016 May;54(5):421-425. Cuomo R, Nisi G, Grimaldi L, Brandi C, Sisti A, D'Aniello C. Immunosuppression and Abdominal Wall Defects: Use of Autologous Dermis. In Vivo. 2015 Nov-Dec;29(6):753-5. Tiengo C, Giatsidis G, Azzena B. Fascia lata allografts as biological mesh in abdominal wall repair: preliminary outcomes from a retrospective case series. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013 Oct;132(4):631e-639e. and the articles should be mentioned/commented in discussion section.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 28552

**Title:** Effectiveness and versatility of biological prosthesis in transplanted patients

**Reviewer's code:** 03479747

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Shui Qiu

**Date sent for review:** 2016-07-12 16:03

**Date reviewed:** 2016-07-22 10:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a fine study article, however, I have some suggestions for improvement: In general I think the article is too long. I would suggest shortening it a little; I found several statements not followed by a reference. I think this is acceptable for some, generally accepted statements, but unacceptable when the statement is controversial or directly in disagreement with published literature.