



### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31171

**Title:** Past, present and future of kidney paired donation transplantation in India

**Reviewer’s code:** 00503255

**Reviewer’s country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-11-04 16:27

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-08 09:24

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
|   |  | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described different successful ways to increase living donor kidney transplantation through kidney paired donation (KPD) and current status of KPD in India. The paper is well-written and has valuable information. But some abbreviations were used without full spelling at the first presentation. In addition, too many abbreviations make the paper hard to read.

1. page 4, line 4: "RRT" should be changed to "renal replacement therapies"
2. page 4, line 6: "KPD" should be changed to "Kidney paired donation (KPD)"
3. page 6, line 11: "DSA" should be changed to "donor specific antibodies (DSA)"
4. page 8, line 7: "DRP" should be changed to "donor recipient pairs (DRP)"
5. page 11, line 17: "PRA" should be changed to "Panel reactive antibodies (PRA)"
6. page 14, line 22: What is "CP"? Is it "compatible pairs (CP)"?



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31171

**Title:** Past, present and future of kidney paired donation transplantation in India

**Reviewer's code:** 00503339

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-11-04 16:27

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-04 20:47

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |  | [Y] No   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |  | BPG Search:                                    |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|  |  | [Y] No   |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Your manuscript portrays an important advance in the struggle to identify the much limited resource of Kidney Donors. You did not discuss how you might proceed with opening the door to such discourse or whether you believed it ethical to advertise for potential compensated kidney donors. The success of your two reported international kidney recipient is a suitable stimulus to open the door for a robust discussion of what both the Medical Profession and Government Politicians believe might be OK in the search to find sufficient kidney donors to meet the growing demand to supply kidneys to recipients who wish to leave dialysis or do not want a life governed by restriction to a machine. At the least, you ought to comment on whether it is likely that India would approve a program of attractive compensation for potential kidney donors. That you opened the door to such questions is a strongly positive aspect of your paper.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 31171

**Title:** Past, present and future of kidney paired donation transplantation in India

**Reviewer's code:** 00504392

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2016-11-04 16:27

**Date reviewed:** 2016-11-23 00:23

| CLASSIFICATION                                    | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
|   |  | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General. No doubt, a kidney transplant is the best option for renal replacement therapy. However, too few organs are available.