

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 37730

Title: Utility of Central Venous Pressure (CVP) Measurement in Renal Transplantation: Is It Evidence Based?

Reviewer's code: 03537042

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-01-01

Date reviewed: 2018-01-17

Review time: 15 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript is well written and recent references cited too. The manuscript details about the newer tools available for assessing the volume status.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 37730

Title: Utility of Central Venous Pressure (CVP) Measurement in Renal Transplantation: Is It Evidence Based?

Reviewer's code: 01805500

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-01-17

Date reviewed: 2018-01-17

Review time: 7 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors should comment on these points: I was impressed by the numerous limitations of the new techniques as per table 2. Thus, I do not see a such evident amelioration respect the old CVP, thus new tools should be used only in very specialised centres with very expert operators. In every day-practice, the 24/h volume of liquid introduced by patients plus urine quantity and body weight variations are the only possible methods to obtain a clear view of the hydration condition.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 37730

Title: Utility of Central Venous Pressure (CVP) Measurement in Renal Transplantation:
Is It Evidence Based?

Reviewer's code: 00503175

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-01-17

Date reviewed: 2018-01-20

Review time: 3 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is very interesting. According to me it is ready for publication without revisions.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 37730

Title: Utility of Central Venous Pressure (CVP) Measurement in Renal Transplantation: Is It Evidence Based?

Reviewer's code: 00503243

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Na Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-01-17

Date reviewed: 2018-01-22

Review time: 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very important study comparing the Central Venous Pressure with newest system in evaluating the utility in fluid intravascular status during renal transplantation. The study is well conducted, rather new and add new important knowledge for the transplant physician