



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Virology*

**Manuscript NO:** 88946

**Title:** Outcomes of liver resection in Hepatitis C virus-related intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02842299

**Position:** Executive Associate Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Physician, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Singapore

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-28 10:22

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-10-28 11:39

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this meta-analysis, the author aimed to study the impact of HCV infection on outcomes of liver resection (LR) in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC). Seven articles were selected into this meta-analysis, and this meta-analysis demonstrated significantly worse OS in HCV+ patients with ICC who underwent curative resection compared to HCV- patients. In brief, this is a vivid meta-analysis. Pros. 1. In this meta-analysis, the authors performed a vivid study selection strategy. 2. In the result section, the authors gave a clear summarization of the selected research and provide conclusive evidence to support the conclusions. 3. In the discussion section, the authors provide a well-thought-out discussion, the authors discuss the potential reason to explain why the patients with HCV have worse prognosis. Besides, the authors discuss the limitation of this study. Cons. 1.The study number included in this study is relatively small, and all studies are from Asian country. 2.All study included in this study is retrospective study. 3. The relationship between the HCV infection and the stage of cholangiocarcinoma has not been clarified. 4. The relationship between the HCV infection and the metastasis of cholangiocarcinoma has not been reported. 5. This research has limited novelty. It just



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

verify a previous conclusion, and do not provide a new point of view