ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology
ESPS manuscript NO: 15219
Title: TREATMENT OF CHRONIC HEPATITIS C IN PATIENTS WITH HIV/HCV COINFECTION
Reviewer code: 00503443
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2014-11-16 22:29
Date reviewed: 2014-11-29 17:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper "Treatment of chronic hepatitis C in pts with HIV/HCV coinfection", N. Coppola et al. review the therapeutic history of patients with HIV/HCV coinfection for the eradication of HCV infection   from combined therapy Peg-IFN + Ribavirin until the third generation of the new DAA and   provide an useful summary of the currently new drugs under investigation. The study is well written and carried out in an equlibrate manner. The most recent trials are well described and, finally, a flow chart on to how optimize the therapy of these patients is proposed. The paper, in the opinion of this R. may be published in WJG. There are only two little point to clarify: Page 13: "The SYNERGY trial studied differing …."   Differing is, perhaps, different Page 15, line 6: was demonstrated.s.   ?? Page 15 last lines   from: "(Managing HIV/   to abacavir/lamivudine." Where the bracket is closed and what   MV does means?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology
ESPS manuscript NO: 15219
Title: TREATMENT OF CHRONIC HEPATITIS C IN PATIENTS WITH HIV/HCV COINFECTION
Reviewer code: 02520359
Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji
Date sent for review: 2014-11-16 22:29
Date reviewed: 2014-11-26 03:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Existing	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] No records	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Existing	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written manuscript in a very intersting topic. Minor language polishing is needed before its publication