



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Nephrology*

Manuscript NO: 90542

Title: POCUS AS THE NEW “LAENNEC STHETOSCOPE”

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05870203

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCA, MBBS, MD

Professional title: Researcher

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Sri Lanka

Author’s Country/Territory: Mexico

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-07

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-21 09:17

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-21 14:29

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author/s, I would like to thank you for this editorial on an evolving field in Medicine: POCUS. The editorial is a brief review of its use and barriers to further adoption in certain backgrounds such as the low economic setups. Please include that the unavailability of ultrasound machines still precludes its use in low-socioeconomic countries. Suggest citing, Munasinghe BM, Fernando UP, Srisothinathan N, Subramaniam N, Jayamanne BD, Arulmoli J. Critical care ultrasonography as a decision support and therapeutic assist tool in the intensive care unit: a single center retrospective survey in a district general hospital, Sri Lanka. Journal of the Postgraduate Institute of Medicine. 2021 Dec 31;8(2):1-2. The article contains a few grammar and sentence-structuring mistakes. Please revise these. For a brief editorial, the content seems to be of adequate quantity and quality.